

- 1 -

Date: <u>1/23/04</u>	Express Mail Label No. <u>EV21572957805</u>
----------------------	---

Inventors: Thomas Farkas, Abram P. Dancy, Leif E. LaWhite and Martin F. Schlecht
Attorney's Docket No.: 1465.2009-002

CHARGE PUMP BYPASS

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Nos. 60/453,423, filed on March 7, 2003 and 60/525,058, filed on November 25, 2003. The
5 entire teachings of the above applications are incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Power converters, such as non-isolated DC/DC down converters, are often built using integrated control circuits. These control IC's direct the operation of the power converter's power stage, and they implement various control functions that are required
10 to create a well-behaved power converter under all operating conditions.

One such control function provided by some control IC's is that of a bias supply to provide power to the controller's internal circuitry and to the driver of the power MOSFET gates. The Intersil ISL6526, for example, is specified to operate from supplies of 3V to 5.5V. When operated from supplies of 3V to 3.6V, a bias supply in
15 the form of an internal charge pump is used to generate the higher voltages required for the IC's internal circuitry and for a gate drive voltage that will result in full enhancement of the power MOSFETs. When operated from supplies of 4.5V to 5.5V this charge pump is bypassed and the internal circuitry is powered directly from the input voltage supply.

20

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Modern DC/DC converter applications desire to be able to operate over ever-wider supply voltage ranges. The Intersil ISL6526 mentioned above, however, requires that it be configured in two different arrangements depending upon the supply voltage:

5 charge-pump active for low supply voltages, or charge-pump bypassed for higher supply voltages. This would normally require converter manufacturers to design, manufacture, and support two different products: one for ~3.3V (low) input voltage supplies and another for ~5V (high) input voltage supplies. It also requires that converter customers select, approve, and inventory one, or probably both, of those

10 offerings.

Market forces obviously desire to achieve proper operation over the entire supply range with the identical product, minimizing the design, approval, and inventory costs of multiple similar converters. To gain market acceptance, the converter should re-configure itself to operate properly over a wide range of input voltage. This

15 document describes circuitry which, when added to a control IC such as the ISL6526, allows it to operate correctly over its entire specified input voltage supply range. The circuitry automatically reconfigures the bias supply in the PWM IC to operate not only in the low and high voltage regimes, but also throughout the continuum between.

Though construed for the ISL6526, the essence of this invention is applicable

20 with other PWM control ICs as well.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing and other objects, features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following more particular description of preferred embodiments of the invention, as illustrated in the accompanying drawings in which like reference

25 characters refer to the same parts throughout the different views. The drawings are not necessarily to scale, emphasis instead being placed upon illustrating the principles of the invention.

Figure 1, Intersil ISL6526 PWM IC Block Diagram

Figure 2, Charge Pump Internal Switches

Figure 3, ISL6526 Configured for Operation near 3.3V in.

Figure 4, ISL6526 Configured for Operation near 5.0V in.

Figure 5, Schottky Diode Clamp

Figure 6, Simple Amplifier-Controlled Bipolar Clamp

5 Figure 7, Adjustable Amplifier-Controlled Bipolar Clamp

Figure 8, Amplifier-Based Clamp with MOSFET

Figure 9, Comparator-Based Clamp with MOSFET & Hysteresis

Figure 10, Implementation of Clamp Circuit

Figure 11, Implementation with Explicit Offset

10 Figure 12, Implementation of Circuit

Figure 13, Normally-on Clamp Circuit

Figure 14, Amplifier & Reference Based MOSFET Clamp

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

A description of preferred embodiments of the invention follows.

15 While this invention has been particularly shown and described with references to preferred embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention encompassed by the appended claims.

Figure 1 shows the internal block diagram of the Intersil ISL6526. Of note is
20 the Charge Pump section.

For the purposes of this discussion the charge pump is a standard 4-switch charge pump as shown in Figure 2, but any charge pump may be used. When switches S1A and S1B are closed, pumping capacitance C_t is charged from the voltage V_{cc} (which is typically connected to the input supply voltage, V_{in}). When the voltage on
25 CPVout falls below a minimum threshold, in this case around 4.5V, switches S1A and S1B are opened and S2A and S2B are closed, transferring a portion of the charge on C_t to C_{dcpl} . After the portion of the charge has transferred, S2A and S2B are opened and S1A and S1B re-closed.

With $V_{cc} < 4.5V$, as in the application of Figure 3, the charge pump is running normally and node CPVout will be at a higher voltage than V_{cc} . But if V_{cc} rises more than a diode-drop above the CPVout minimum threshold of $\sim 4.5V$, a junction internal to the PWM IC carries current at those valleys. This current causes improper operation and can be destructive to the IC. For this reason the circuit of Figure 4, with V_{cc} tied directly to CPVout and the charge pump disconnected, is used for $V_{cc} > 4.5V$. Obviously, the manufacturer did not foresee the desirability of using this PWM IC in a single device with a wide input voltage supply range.

It is desirable to have a method to allow CPVout to be pumped up above V_{cc} when V_{cc} is low and the charge pump is running, yet clamp CPVout to V_{cc} , preventing it from being significantly below V_{cc} , when V_{cc} rises above the CPVout minimum threshold. For the case of the ISL6526 control IC, CPVout should never be allowed to be more than 0.2V below V_{cc} .

One method to accomplish this goal is to add a Schottky diode from V_{cc} to CPVout as illustrated in Figure 5. Unfortunately, even the relatively low forward drop of the Schottky diode is too high to adequately protect the IC from damaging currents in some circumstances.

A bipolar C-E junction is one example of a device that does provide sufficiently close clamping in this instance. And an amplifier or comparator provides an example of a way to control the junction.

Figure 6 shows a simple amplifier-controlled bipolar clamp. The gain, A , and offset of the amplifier are designed so that when V_{cc} exceeds CPVout, Q1 is turned on and holds CPVout within a couple tenths of a volt (or a saturation drop) of V_{cc} . As V_{cc} drops below CPVout (and the charge pump begins running), the amplifier turns Q1 off.

The circuit of Figure 6 might have difficulties with the common-mode range of its inputs. If required, both inputs could be divided as shown in Figure 7. This also explicitly shows how the amplifier offset could be controlled via the relative resistor-divider ratios: $R3, R5$ and $R2, R4$.

In both the circuits of Fig. 6 and 7, if the amplifier gain is increased to essentially infinity, a 'comparator-based' version results. In many instances this works just as well and is simpler to both design and implement.

5 In both the circuits of Figs. 6 and 7, when Q1 is off it is biased reverse of normal; that is, its collector is at a higher voltage than its emitter when it is turned off. This can be understood by remembering that when Vcc is low and the charge pump is running, CPVout is greater than Vcc. It is important that Q1 not leak so much in this mode that it overloads the charge pump and draws CPVout down. To alleviate leakage concerns, the circuit of Figure 6 or Figure 7 can also be modified to utilize a MOSFET
10 as the clamp device, as illustrated generally by Figure 8.

Again, by choosing the gain, A, and the offset via the resistor divider ratios, the turn-on of M1 can be designed to hold CPVout at greater than $V_{cc}-0.1$. For the case of the ISL6526, the 0.1V difference between Vcc and CPVout is sufficiently small to avoid damage to the control IC.

15 Again, the amplifier gain, A, can be increased so that a comparator is implemented and hard switching of M1 results. In this case the resistors should be chosen to set the switching threshold when Vcc exceeds CPVout by a small amount. If the threshold were set to be when the two voltages are equal, then once the switch turns on it might *never* turn off because the two inputs would be held roughly at equality
20 forever.

Even so, with a small offset built in to the resistor dividers, the circuit of Figure 8 contains negative feedback. It might therefore exhibit noise or oscillations near the transition points if a comparator is used. This problem can be solved trivially with some hysteresis. One simple method of accomplishing this hysteresis is shown in
25 Figure 9, where Rh is chosen to provide enough hysteresis to avoid noisy/oscillatory transitions.

Figure 10 shows an implementation of a Figure 6 type circuit, but with the MOSFET clamp device of Figure 8. The amplifier is constructed with a common-base differential pair of PNP transistors. The two transistors can be combined in a common

package and possibly matched so that their temperatures will be roughly equal and their temperature dependant parameters will therefore track.

Significantly, current is drawn from CPVout through R1 to hold M1 off when the charge pump is running. The charge pump must be able to supply this current that, with CPVout approaching 6V, will approach 300uA. With the clamp transition happening when Vcc is near 4.5V and the threshold voltage of the MOSFET, M1, being typically between 1 and 3 volts, the transition will occur with the collector current of Q2B in the 100uA range. Since Q2B is non-saturated at the transition and its Beta is typically near 100, Q2B's base current will on the order of 1uA. Rb will, however, be drawing nearly 80uA. The remaining 79uA or so must come from the base of Q2A. If Q2A were also non-saturated, its collector current would be several milli-amps. But the value of R2 of 3.32k dictates that only about 1.5mA of collector current will saturate Q2A. With these circuit values, therefore, the clamp transition occurs with Q2A saturated. R2 limits the saturation current, and the base-current differential between Q2A and Q2B creates a small (50-100mV) offset in the amplifier.

The clamp device will not be turned on until Vcc exceeds CPVout by 50-100mV. This offset is important: perchance Q2A and Q2B exhibited an inherent mismatch in their base-emitter voltages such that $V_{be}(Q2A) < V_{be}(Q2B)$ then when $V_{cc} = CPV_{out}$, the clamp device, M1, may be turned on. M1 will then hold $V_{cc} = CPV_{out}$ forevermore, and the clamp will remain locked on.

The implementation shown in Figure 11 works similarly to that in Figure 10. The transition occurs with Q2A saturated carrying an emitter-current of about 1.5mA. This current must flow through R2 providing an additional 0.1V of offset.

The circuit of Figure 12 is another variant of that shown in Figure 10 that also includes an explicit offset. Q2B and Rb work as above, but Q2A, conducts the additional 79uA of Rb current while connected as a diode. This 79uA also flows through R2, requiring about 0.1V, which is the design offset.

The circuit of Figure 13 illustrates another slightly different approach. It can be said that the series combination of R1 and R3 holds the clamp switch, Q1, 'normally-on'. But when CPVout exceeds Vcc, diode D1 will conduct through R2, raising the

voltage across R3, lowering the voltage across R1, and therefore and shutting off Q1. It can be seen that with $V_{cc} = CPV_{out}$, both devices, Q1 and D1, will be on, but that's inconsequential with the bipolar Q1. The resistors must be chosen such that, when CPVout exceeds V_{cc} by a couple tenths of a volt (and Q1 could begin conducting in reverse), Q1 should be fully off. This can be difficult to guarantee over all cases and temperatures and this circuit is likely to 'leak' significantly in reverse, when CPVout exceeds V_{cc} . Nonetheless it might prove entirely sufficient to the task. Diode D1 could itself be implemented in many ways, as a diode, a diode-connected transistor of either polarity, or even a reverse diode-connected transistor of either polarity.

10 The examples thus far have all been efforts to construct an optimized version of the Schottky diode clamp shown in Figure 5. The circuits looked at the V_{cc} -CPVout voltage and controlled a variably conducting device in response to it. There are myriad additional well-known circuits to construct idealized diodes, each with their own strengths, limitations, and costs. Anyone skilled in the art could arrive at several more
15 workable solutions.

 If the charge pump minimum threshold voltage is fairly well known, then the circuits could alternatively monitor V_{cc} and any handy reference (or a scaled version of it) instead of CPVout. Figure 8 recast in this manner becomes Figure 14.

 Anyone skilled in the art can choose values for R2 and R4 to set the amplifier
20 active region near the charge pump threshold. Anyone skilled in the art could also trivially employ a reference like this in any of the other implementations already presented; they will be omitted for brevity.

 Of course the amplifiers and comparators of Figure 6 to Figure 9 can be constructed with any of a number of suitable integrated circuit OPAMPs or
25 comparators, they could be constructed within the PWM IC itself, or they could be constructed with 'discrete' devices as illustrated in Figure 10 to Figure 12 for examples of a few. The discrete Q2A and Q2B in Figure 10 to Figure 12 are shown as PNP bipolar transistors. With appropriate biasing schemes, they could of course be NPN devices or MOSFETs of either polarity. Similarly the switches, Q1 and M1, in Figure 6
30 to Figure 14 could be any number of discrete devices of either polarity, they could be

integrated circuit 'CMOS Switches', or they could be integrated in any of those forms within the PWM IC itself. The switches also needn't be directly controlled semiconductor devices; in some cases an electromagnetic relay or a photoconductive device could be gainfully employed.

- 5 While the circuit solutions shown in the document could be constructed external to the control IC, they could also be contained within the control IC.